1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Dec 20, 2023

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

DON MACALLISTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDY JOHNSTON; and JOHNSTON ENGINEERING PLLC,

Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-00210-MKD

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

ECF No. 1

By Order entered October 16, 2023, the Court advised Plaintiff, who is proceeding in this case *pro se*, of the deficiencies of his Complaint and directed him to amend or voluntarily dismiss the Complaint within 60 days. ECF No. 5. Plaintiff did not comply with that Order and has filed nothing further in this action.

Plaintiff filed this *pro se* Complaint on July 25, 2023. ECF No. 1. Plaintiff alleges the Defendants "unlawfully seized Plaintiff's business documents and property worth over \$75,000," and that the Defendants demanded money for the return of the seized property. *Id.* at 4. Plaintiff seeks the "[i]mmediate release of seized property and prohibition of further unlawful actions," compensatory ORDER TO AMEND OR VOLUNTARILY DISMISS COMPLAINT - 1

damages, and punitive damages. *Id.* at 7. Plaintiff's Complaint references an alleged text message from Defendant on June 29, 2022, that Plaintiff claims demonstrates Defendant seized Plaintiff's property, refused to pursue legal methods to return the property, and demanded money in return for the property. *Id.* at 5. By separate Order, the Court has granted Plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. ECF No. 3. Proof of Service has not been filed.

As discussed in the prior Order, Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiff alleged Defendants violated criminal laws, however, no private right of action exists to enforce criminal statutes. Plaintiff alleges Defendants violated his Fourth Amendment rights, but Defendants are not appropriate defendants for a Section 1983 claim. As such, Plaintiff also has not provided a basis for federal question jurisdiction. Although granted the opportunity to amend or voluntarily dismiss, Plaintiff has filed nothing further in this action. The Court cautioned Plaintiff that if he failed to amend within 60 days as directed, the Court would dismiss the Complaint for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

For the reasons set forth above, and in the Order to Amend or Voluntarily Dismiss Complaint, ECF No. 5, this action is dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim against Defendants upon which relief may be granted.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 1 1. Plaintiff's Complaint, ECF No. 1, is DISMISSED with prejudice. 2 3 2. Plaintiff shall provide notice of the dismissal to any and all Defendants 4 he served with a copy of the Complaint and Summons. 5 3. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and would lack any arguable basis in law 6 7 or fact. 8 IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to file this 9 Order, enter judgment, provide copies to counsel and pro se Plaintiff, and CLOSE 10 the file. 11 DATED December 20, 2023. 12 13 s/Mary K. Dimke MARY K. DIMKE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 ORDER TO AMEND OR VOLUNTARILY DISMISS COMPLAINT - 3

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	DON MACALLISTER,	No. 2:23-cv-00210-MKD
8	Plaintiff,	MOTION TO VOLUNTARILY
9	v.	DISMISS COMPLAINT
10	ANDY JOHNSTON; and JOHNSTON ENGINEERING PLLC,	
11	Defendants.	
12	Plaintiff DON MACALLISTER requests the Court grant his Motion to	
13	Voluntarily Dismiss Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a).	
14	Plaintiff is proceeding <i>pro se</i> . Plaintiff will provide notice of the dismissal to	
15	Defendant.	
16	DATED thisday of	2023.
17		
18		
19	DON MACALLISTER	
20		

MOTION TO VOLUNTARILY DISMISS COMPLAINT - 1